

Article 21: Correct But Never A Truth, ...Incorrect But Ever True

Understanding truth is simple! Truth is either true in all ways and all the time ...or it is not a truth, ...for a truth cannot be partially true, sometimes true, or only in some particular way true. You cannot have or know part of the truth, for truth cannot be developed. And there are no degrees of truth, such as profound truths or shallow truths or enlightened truths, weak or strong truths, ...no greater or lesser truths, truths that can be understood and those that cannot, ...or truths that can be rationalized and those that must be known by your heart, for there are no intellectual truths and separate emotional truths. There is not your truth and my truth. There are no hidden or mysterious truths, unfolding truths, or revealed truths. There are no partial or half-truths. And if you are searching for truth, you will never find truth, for truth may not be grasped, held on to, or even passed on. Truth will never free you from ignorance ...or change your life, ...in fact, you can never know or prove a truth ...but you can always live a truth.

Truth is that which is always and in all ways true.

Anything that is somewhere or under some conditions untrue, that which contains exceptions or contradictions, cannot be true, ...for the exceptions and contradictions "prove" the untruth, ...or more appropriately said, the exceptions and contradictions "reveal" its untruth. A truth, in being always and in all ways true, can be lived but never known.

Under these conditions, attempting to discover truths to live by is an endless and useless search and struggle, for in your world of duality, knowing or proving requires that you compare or test your truth against other things, ...things that are obviously not truths ...and which will always reveal conflicts and contradictions; therefore, all such knowings and proofs are futile.

Of course we have been resoundly challenged in these awarenesses by religionists who proclaim the "truth of God's laws" which are revealed in the Bible and in the workings of the universe; by ordinary individuals who just "know" that these are truths, ...for, as they declare, they have been taught many truths that have been known and passed down through the ages; and by scientifically minded individuals who declare that "math is true and is a universal language, ...for $2+2=4$ is mathematically true everywhere in the universe, so that fits the definition of being always and in all ways true ...and it can obviously be known."

Before continuing our discussion of truth, it is important to understand that if we declare that "all crows are black" ...and we show you

ten thousand black crows as examples, this does not "rove" that all crows are black, ...for the very next crow to appear might be white. No example ever "roves" that something is true, but any exception contradiction, or contrary expression reveals that it is *not true*.

Now, let's return to $2+2=4$ being true everywhere in the universe. Two moon-rovers and two starships (for traveling to the far reaches of the universe to see if $2+2=4$ is everywhere true) will always equal four vehicles, two astronauts and two observers will equal four individuals, and two oranges and two apples taken along on the journey will equal four fruits, so it seems that everywhere in the universe $2+2=4$, ...or does it?

What does 2 inches plus 2 feet equal 4 of? What do 2 beliefs and 2 convictions equal 4 of? Do two sins or evils plus two good deeds equal four of anything? These examples, like white crows, "disprove" even the truth of mathematics, for $2+2$ does not *a/ways* equal 4.

Will you continue accepting something as true, if we can reveal even one exception or contradiction? Doesn't that one "white crow" reveal its untruth? But these scientific individuals still insist that $2+2=4$ is true.

Similarly, religionists explain away "Divine Laws" that contain exceptions or contradictions by *revealing other Divine Laws* which supposedly counter or off-set the exceptions and contradictions ...and maintain the truth of their original Divine Laws. For example, if Jesus died to forgive your sins, you cannot be a sinner; if God is good and all things, then God is evil, or if evil exists, then it is good; if there are things that God is not, then God is not omnipotent; if God gave you free will, you cannot be judged for exercising your free will, ...and if you don't have free will, ...how can you be judged? In each example, the religionist quoted another "Divine Law or Truth from the Bible" in a futile attempt to end or close such contradictions.

From all of this, you can reasonably understand that such "truths" as $2+2=4$ and Divine Laws exist only under limited conditions ...and cannot be truths, for they are not always and in all ways true. Of course, we have been challenged in this by those who declare that our limited minds cannot know the mind and laws and truth of God. But, if that is so, why declare a Divine Law or Truth which we must obey and live ...if we cannot know it?

$2+2=4$ and Divine Laws can be "correct" within limiting conditions ...but never a truth. **Anything you know may be correct ...but it can never be a truth!**

How can something be correct yet not be a truth? Anything that IS must be true, ...for there it is, ...so anything that is untrue cannot exist; ...therefore, untruths do not exist. And, as well, things may be correct or

false according to the limited conditions established, ...but, because their correctness or falseness exists, they must be true.

Are you a "truth-junkie"? If so, consider this: A red apple is always an apple, even when it is green, ...but is an apple an apple when it is a blossom? and if a blossom is not an apple, where does the blossom leave off and the apple begin?

Well, there you have it, ...a belief that you have is true only to the extent that it exists and you believe it, ...and within a limited belief structure, it may or may not be correct, ...but, in either case, it is not a truth, for...

**A truth must always and in all ways
be true.**

More "Truths" will follow in Article 22.