

Article 372: Salvation and “stuff” -part 2

You must read part 1 before continuing with my answer to Pat’s e-mail.

Now, let’s suppose you’ve always “felt controlled” (by Xandu) or “felt out of control (without Xandu) ...and thus lived a struggling•controlling self and life, but now, at this late stage in life, you decide to be reborn and live as a *privilegedbeing* ...all you have to do is choose how you will be alive and always and only live that self-evidently as you truly desire. “But what about old memories and habits?!” you might exclaim, “...won’t they interfere ...and keep me in judgments until I overcome them, make amends, forgive myself, and live good-karma? What about all of that?!”

Relax! ...all memories are stored in separate pieces as data•information not as events and circumstances, which, in the past, you, following the structure of language, assembled into the same old judgment-pattern of beliefs, thoughts, events, circumstances, and desires ...and sacrificed living as a privilegedbeing as you truly desire, ...but when you are reborn and baptized by declaring how you will be alive and always and only live that, then old memories will fade as data•information reassembles according to this new pattern of how you will be alive as a privilegedbeing.

If all this makes sense, none of this declares that to have free will you must create and/or control the environment in which you free will ...and it certainly doesn’t say that you must be self-generating, because then you would ask, “How was I generated so as to generate myself?” That’s *first before first* languaging; ...if you always and only live as you truly desire, does it matter how it all started? ...and does any beginning change or limit the fact that you are living as you truly desire? However, that all pointed out, consider what I’ve said for years that *you don’t live the things you do or don’t do, achieve, or possess, you live how you feel about these things* ...and since nothing can actually influence or restrict how you feel~independent of reactive-feel~you are in this self-generating, in control of your environment, and self-evidencing as you truly desire, ...isn’t that what free will is all about? If more or other, what more or other?

In feeling dependent on Xandu ...and being fearful that he might pull-the-plug on you by not “thinking you”, your religion is showing about obedience to God lest you do not gain everlasting life, but you are forgetting that while Xandu is God projecting you ...and in that you need Xandu, Xandu doesn’t really need you, therefore you cannot be considered as a “greater whole”, mutually supportive couplet, or any similar form. Obviously, in this question you are still trying to save and protect you, ...which reminds me of a Samurai I’ve heard of.

Well, there is one thing you have ignored, ...that while both God and Xandu are “other” than you (allow me that) and both know everything you are

and do, God only knows this data•information-you and is more than that data•information, Xandu is this data•information-you and is also more, ...actually you exist in Xandu, but to live as Xandu, we must get rid of you as Pat. The best religion has is for you to become like God ...or one with God, but you cannot be God, ...however, with Xandu, you can be Xandu ...and temporarily be Pat living as Xandu.

Samurais were Masters in themselves, not mastered by others, ...but to be Masters, Samurais served others, ...are you willing to be the Master by serving a Master?

About meaning, when I gave up on Truelisting Gathering everything on meaning went on the shelf ...where it sits, so the best I can do now is say a *little*.

In languaging, *meaning is that which is signified by something; what something represents*, ...therefore, following these words, anything that exists IS meaning. For example, a rock signifies rockness, ...or rocks represent “solid stuff”, ...thus “solid stuff” is the (a) meaning rock. However, you being trapped in languaging, think mostly that *meaning is that which one wishes to convey; that which is interpreted to be the goal, intent, or end; that which is felt to be the inner significance of something, the functional value of something*. From this languaging, you can see that the first indication above of *meaning* is inherent as the thing itself, not that the thing has meaning ...or the meaning of the thing, while the second set of definitions are relative to an action or use of something, thus we have languagingly distorted meaning of being into meaning•meaningful of doing or resulting.

You can understand this from the long-standing statement, “Love me for who I am, not for what I do!” and the accusation “You only love me for what I do around here ...and for what you can get from me, ...you don’t love the real me!” Actually, religion preaches *God’s unconditional* love of you, then conditions that by your actions and results. God’s unconditional-love is love (or meaning) of your being or existing, while actioning-love (or meaning) is love of the action or consequences of actions ...not you. Meaning of what you are conveying, of goals or intentions or endings, and of inner significance makes you “think” you are speaking of the thing itself when you are actually speaking of something other than the thing.

To avoid this languaging dilemma, I must always relate to me as me ...not to what I wish to convey, not to me as a goal or intent or end, nor as a significant me, ...and I must tell you the me that I am so you can relate to me in the same way, ...then you might love me as me, not for what I do. How do I tell me and you who•what I am? ...by declaring the eternalbeing I will always be ...and always and only living that, ...this is “greater” than “walking the talk”, it is being the talk ...and the walk. And so I won’t get caught up in language-

loving•meaning as doing or doing to result, I must always offer ...and in offering, offer me ...as I have declared..

So now we have a problem, ...absent doing and conveying, intending or trying to be, and creating and revealing significance, who•what am I? ...I am who•what I say I am and live, ...I am not the living of that, the living only confirms that I am who•what I declare I am. Now, isn't that simple?

Aloha,

Roger & Misty

Does all of this sound too complex to understand and master? ...if so, then remember that to walk or run, you have to properly coordinate 400 different muscles, ...but you walk and run quite well, don't you? If you think about these muscles ...or concern yourself about coordinating them you could never really begin, but if you just stand up and begin walking you quickly learn to coordinate your muscles to accomplish what you truly desire. Maybe you don't really want to live as a privilegedbeing ...as you truly desire?

If you would rather, stop reading this Article and drive to Starbucks for a cup of coffee, you might as well know the steering requires your coordinating 100 muscles ...and lifting your coffee requires 70 muscles working properly and in order, but you do it, ...don't you? ...sometimes you'll do miraculous things for a cup of coffee without realizing it, ...what will you do for a new way of life? ...anything? Languaging interferes with communicating with and coordinating your true empowerment.

Remain true to thy privilegedbeing!